

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/481,840	01/12/2000	Michael Robert Hanson	04860.P1712C	6190
7590 10/09/2003 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman			EXAMINER	
			HONG, STEPHEN S	
12400 Wilshire Blvd Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, (A 90025	2178	19	
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	. / /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/481,840	HANSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen S. Hong	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	05 / / 0000				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> .					
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,16-25,28,30-35,38 and 39</u> is/s	are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,16-25,28,30-35,38 and 39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	• ,	, ,			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) primal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Application/Control Number: 09/481,840

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination and Amendment F filed 7/25/03.

The rejection of claims 14, 16-25, 28, 30-35, and 38-39 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan et al (5,745,113) in view of Adobe PageMill version 2.0 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/481,840

Art Unit: 2178

3. Claims 14, 16-25, 28, 30-35, and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan et al (5,745,113) in view of Adobe PageMill version 2.0 and Simpson, Mastering WordPerfect 5.1&5.2 for WindowsTM, SYBEX Inc., 1993, pp. 993-1003.

With respect to independent claims 14, 20, and 31, and dependent claims 17-18, 21-22, 25, 28, 30, 32, 35, and 38-39, Jordan discloses a user interface which allows a user to edit, manipulate, and define objects to create new ones. Refer to Jordan's abstract, figures 2 and 3, and column 6 (line 15) through column 8 (line 7). Jordan discloses a map editor, which allows a user to create a map. Specifically, at column 6 (lines 30 et seq-with reference to figure 2), Jordan discloses, To add to a map, a user will select an object type from a palette of objects 30 and place it in the map drawing pane 32, creating an instance of the object type. There the object can be positioned, resized, duplicated, grouped with other objects to form larger objects, aligned with another object[ed], deleted, and so on. At column 6 (lines 40 et seq), Jordan further discloses, The map editor has a number of predefined types of objects that might be found in typical office settings . . . The objects appear in palettes, a limited number of which may be selected by the user to appear on the map editor window. A user may create new objects and palettes at any time. At column 7 (lines 19 et seq-with reference to figure 3), Jordan discloses, an object editor, invocable as a menu item, allows a user to create new types of display objects (user-defined map object types) or modify existing ones. Through dialog boxes, the user selects an existing or new object, edits how it is displayed on a map, edits the icon used for it on palette buttons, specifies Application/Control Number: 09/481,840

Art Unit: 2178

the types of record in the database that objects of the type can point to, renames it, or removes it.

Thus, Jordan teaches a "palette window" at figure 2 (left side) and figure 3 (left side), which comprises a "list" that includes "predefined objects" (top left) and "userdefined objects"—A user may create new objects and palettes at any time (column 7). Jordan discloses a "view window" at the right side of both of figures 2 and 3. An "input device" (mouse or keyboard—see figure 1) is used to select and manipulate objects. Jordan also discloses a PALETTE SET POP-UP in figure 2, which includes an arrow that evidently provides more selections than the illustrated Basic Set. It is noted that the claims do not require that the first and second lists be displayed simultaneously.

However, Jordan does not appear to teach the newly added limitation, that the first list of predefined objects and the second list of user-defined objects are displayed simultaneously. Nevertheless, the missing feature is taught by the prior art of Simpson. Simpson teaches a well known document editor called WordPerfect 5.1. In the prior art Simpson teaches that the user can create the user-defined command objects called "Macros" (see pages 993-994). Simpson than teaches the Macros can be added to the palette as a button object (see page 998, "Attaching a macro to a button" section). In the section, Simpson teaches that the user-defined macro objects are added to the menu area after the first list which contains the pre-defined command objects that come with the WordPerfect 5.1. (see FIGURE L9.3, on page 1003, "Trpose" object).

Therefore, given the teaching of Simpson, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Jordan to display

Art Unit: 2178

both the predefined objects and user-defined objects to be displayed simultaneously, since a person of ordinary skill would have appreciated the benefit of accessing the different types of command objects while authoring the document without leaving the current editor screen.

With further regard to dependent claims 16, 23-24, and 33-34, Jordan illustrates "predefined objects" at the top left side of each of figures 2 and 3.

With regard to dependent claim 19, refer to Jordan's figure 5, in which a "list of properties" is illustrated in an "object editor window".

It is noted that Jordan fails to teach "HTML objects". However, Adobe discloses a web page development application that includes the use of "HTML objects". For example, refer to Adobe's page 36 (2nd paragraph): *Adobe PageMill lets you create pages without typing any codes—unlike many other applications for designing Web pages that require you to learn the HTML codes (called tags) that format the text, graphics, and objects on a page.* At page 71, Adobe illustrates a palette window (*Finder Window* and *Pasteboard*); at page 77, Adobe illustrates finding and replacing objects, including *objects such as links or form buttons or controls, text, and so on.*Other examples of "HTML objects" available for the user to include in created web pages abound throughout the Adobe manual. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Adobe with Jordan because adding hyperlink functionality to Jordan's invention would enhance the flexibility of the invention by allowing users to derive user-defined objects from the internet while creating or editing the user interface. Furthermore, it was well known to

Art Unit: 2178

implement user interfaces such as Jordan's with HTML capability because of the known advantages, including navigational capabilities, of HTML applications.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14, 16-25, 28, 30-35, and 38-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 308-5465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Stephen Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2178 October 3, 2003